

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

H.A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCY
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450

. APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/295,607	04/22/1999	SHUNPEI YAMAZAKI	740756-1961	7371
22204 7590 01/25/2008 NIXON PEABODY, LLP 401 9TH STREET, NW			EXAMINER	
			HU, SHOUXLANG	
SUITE 900 WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2128			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2811	
				·.
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	09/295,607	YAMAZAKI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Shouxiang Hu	2811			
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status	;				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Oc	toher 2007				
	action is non-final.				
· <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	parto quayro, robo o.z. rr, ro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>8,17,22-24,28-30,40,41,47,51-56,60-6</u>	3 and 68-85 is/are pending in the	e application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.		•			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	1				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	!				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.	· .			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/085,931.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
	f .				
. (4)	•				
	:				
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims subject to restriction and/or election requirement are 8,17,22-24,28-30,40,41,47,51-56,60-63 and 68-85.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims 8, 17, 22-24, 28-30, 40-41, 47, 51-56, 60-63 and 68-85 directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species 1, involving an insulating film formed of aluminum nitride and carbon on the front side (i.e., the same side as the transistor and/or the gate).

Species 2, involving an insulating film formed of aluminum nitride and carbon on the rear side.

Species 3, involving an insulating film formed of aluminum nitride and oxygen on the front side.

Species 4, involving an insulating film formed of aluminum nitride and oxygen on the near side.

Species 5, involving an insulating film formed of aluminum nitride on the front side.

Species 6, involving an insulating film formed of aluminum nitride on the rear side.

Species 7, involving an insulating film formed of aluminum oxide on the front side.

Species 8, involving an insulating film formed of aluminum oxide on the rear side.

Species 9, involving an insulating film formed of silicon nitride on the front side.

Species 10, involving an insulating film formed of silicon nitride on the rear side.

The species are independent or distinct because of the patentably distinctive materials specifically identified for the insulating films above.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(l).

3. Furthermore, it is noted any attempt to elect any of the newly submitted claims 71-76 and 80-85 (which may be readable only on some of the species among Species 7-10, involving silicon nitride or aluminum oxide, instead of involving at least aluminum nitride) may subject to further restrictions, given that these newly submitted claims are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention that involves at least aluminum nitride as originally claimed. And, since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits.

Accordingly, claims 71-76 and 80-85 should be withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shouxiang Hu whose telephone number is 571-272-1654. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne Gurley can be reached on 571-272-1670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SH

January 18, 2008

SHOUXIANG HU PRIMARY EXAMINER